Exhibit 4 – Deposition of Dr. Soderberg

```
Page 1
1
2
             IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
 3
                       ROCK HILL DIVISION
 4
5
       IN RE: NEW INDY ) Case Nos.
       EMISSIONS LITIGATION
                                 )0:21-cv-01480-SAL
6
                                  )0:21-cv-01704-SAL
7
                                   )
8
9
10
11
                    VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
12
                      KEIR SODERBERG, Ph.D.
13
                         October 3, 2023
14
                            10:11 a.m.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
       Reported by: Bonnie L. Russo
22
       Job No. 6129608
```

```
Page 2
        Videotaped Deposition of Keir Soderberg, Ph.D.
 1
 2
        held at:
 3
 4
 5
                 Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP
 6
                 1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
 7
                 Washington, D.C.
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
        Pursuant to Notice, when were present on behalf
18
        of the respective parties:
19
20
2.1
22
```

```
Page 3
1
        APPEARANCES:
 2.
        On behalf of the Plaintiffs:
 3
 4
           BRENT CERYES, ESQUIRE
           BAIRD MANDALAS BROCKSTEDT & FEDERICO
 5
           2850 Quarry Lake Drive, Suite 220
 6
           Baltimore, Maryland 21209
7
8
           bceryes@bmbfclaw.com
9
10
11
        On behalf of the Defendants:
12
           SCOTT T. SCHUTTE, ESQUIRE
13
           MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP
14
           110 North Wacker Drive
15
           Chicago, Illinois 60606
16
           scott.schutte@morganlewis.com
17
                  -and-
18
           JAMIE HUFFMAN, ESQUIRE
19
           MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP
20
           1717 Main Street, Suite 3200
2.1
           Dallas, Texas 75201
22
           jamie.huffman@morganlewis.com
```

```
Page 4
 1
        APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):
 2
 3
        Also Present:
 4
        Gene Aronov, Videographer
 5
 6
        Also Present Via Remotely:
 7
        Shanon Peek, Smith Robinson
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

		I	Page 5
L		I N D E X	
2	EXAMINATION	OF KEIR SODERBERG, Ph.D.	PAGE
	BY MR. SCHU	TTE	8
			244
	BY MR. CERY	ES	237
;			
		EXHIBITS	
7			
	Exhibit 1	Notice of Videotaped	11
		Deposition of	
		Keir Soderberg, Ph.D.	
	Exhibit 2	Rule 26(A)(2)(b)	12
		Report of Keir Soderberg	
	Exhibit 3	S.S. Papadopulos &	69
		Associates, Inc. Profile	
?		of Keir Soderberg, Ph.D.	
3	Exhibit 4	Declaration of Scott Reynolds	s 86
Ł	Exhibit 5	New Indy Odor Reports Map	123
5	Exhibit 6	Spreadsheets	157
5	Exhibit 7	Google Maps of Catawba	184
'	Exhibit 8	Rule 26(A)(2)(b) Report of	205
		William Vizuete, Ph.D.	
	Exhibit 9	Spreadsheets	212
	Exhibit 10	Spreadsheets	215
	Exhibit 11	Spreadsheets	219
	Exhibit 12	Spreadsheets	230
	(Exhibits b	ound separately.)	

	Page 6
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(10:11 a.m.)
3	
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.
5	We are going on the record at
6	a.m. on October 3, 2023.
7	Please note that the microphones are
8	sensitive and may pick up whispering and
9	private conversations. Please mute your phones
10	at this time. Audio and video recording will
11	continue to take place unless all parties agree
12	to go off the record.
13	This is Media Unit 1 of the
14	video-recorded deposition of Dr. Keir Soderberg
15	taken by counsel for defendants in the matter
16	of In Re: New-Indy Emissions Litigation filed
17	in the United States District Court, District
18	of South Carolina, Rock Hill Division, Case
19	No. 0:21-cv-01480-SAL and 0:21-cv-01074-SAL.
20	The location of this deposition is
21	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, 1111 Pennsylvania
2.2	Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C.

Page 112 1 The person at DHEC. 0. 2. Well, I think Scott Reynolds's Α. declaration indicated that the -- the 3 4 spreadsheet was automatically populated from the report form. So in that case, someone at 5 the department -- at DHEC would not have typed 6 in the address. 7 8 Right. But when it geo -- how is 9 the geocoding done? 10 Α. Well, if you have the addresses --11 well, I wasn't involved in this process, so I 12 can't say --13 Q. Right. So we don't know. We don't 14 know. 15 But in general if you have a 16 spreadsheet of addresses, you wouldn't retype 17 the addresses. You would use that spreadsheet 18 as direct information to geocode from. 19 Ο. But you don't know whether DHEC did 20 that or not? 2.1 A. I don't know. 22 Okay. My point -- again, this is Q.

Page 113
not a criticism of you. But my point is that
the data that you were working with, which
starts from the YouTube video, there is a lot
of uncertainty about the quality of that data,
isn't there?
MR. CERYES: Objection.
BY MR. SCHUTTE:
Q. Let me modify the question.
The data that you were working with
when you started your analysis using the
YouTube videos, there is uncertainty about the
quality of that data, isn't there?
A. So there are different ways to
answer that.
When I look at a frame you know,
one of those weekly maps, I can look at how
crisp the points are, how accurately I can find

The data that went into making that map, I can't speak to the uncertainties.

resolve different points from one another.

the center of that point, how accurately I can

Okay. And you haven't tried to Q.

1

2.

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

Page 114

quantify the known or potential rate of error with respect to those uncertainties, correct?

- To -- to which uncertainties? Α.
- The uncertainty in the quality of Ο. the data that you received in the form of the YouTube video?
- Α. There was no way for me to evaluate the uncertainties.
 - 0. Okay.
- -- of the -- in the quality of the data that went into the YouTube vehicle.
- Have you ever in your professional career relied on data where you were not able to evaluate the quality of the data that went into the model?
- So often in consulting or litigation about chemical contamination, there are, for example, old maps where samples were collected in a certain location. Maybe those are hand-drawn maps. Maybe the location is hard to read. And so there is some uncertainty with those old, poor quality maps. And so, yes, I

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376 1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

have used that data, you know, that type of data.

- But in those situations, you can evaluate and quantify the uncertainty. You can say, oh, gosh, I've got old maps. I can look at them myself, but you actually have the ability -- just like the hypothetical satellite image of the vegetation, you can go back and sort of do a check and balance on -- even though there is some uncertainty, you can go back and review it, correct?
- Not in every case. The map may just be legible to the point where you know that the sample was taken in a general vicinity of a site and you know that there is data associated with that sample, and so you know the data are of a certainty quality. The location may be --
 - Q. Okay.
- Α. -- may be less certain because of the poor quality of the map.
- Yeah. That -- that -- when you have 0. a situation like that, does that impact your

www.veritext.com 888-391-3376